The use of radiographic images of the foot in forensic evaluation



David Agoada, DPM MS









FORENSIC PODIATRY: ROLE AND SCOPE OF PRACTICE

INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR IDENTIFICATION FORENSIC PODIATRY: ROLE AND SCOPE OF PRACTICE (IN THE CONTEXT OF FORENSIC HUMAN IDENTIFICATION)

FORENSIC PODIATRY: ROLE AND SCOPE OF PRACTICE

Forensic podiatry currently has four subspecialties covering analysis and identification involving:

Podiatry treatment records

Bare footprints

Footwear

Forensic Gait Analysis

What a forensic podiatrist may do:

- Examination of foot prints; bare or sock clad
- Examination of foot impressions
- Examination of footwear particularly where there is a question of the predominant wearer or ownership of the footwear in questions
- Footprint profiling
- Mass disaster applications

Podiatrist's clinical records

- Clinical records could be used in the identification of deceased persons.
- Clinical records can be of particular use when the lower limb has been separated from the body, or when other methods of identification are compromised.
- Clinical records can supplement other means of identification

The records made by podiatrists contain the following information:

- Personal information (name, address, contact details)
- General and foot specific medical history
- Foot/lower extremity description, including footrelated pathologies
- Information concerning treatment carried by the podiatrist, including:
 - 1. Foot/ lower extremity treatment description
 - 2. Pedal surgery
 - 3. Relevant foot x-rays
 - 4. Preserved lab specimens

Has DNA made the need for medical records and radiographs obsolete?



The False Promise of DNA Testing

- DNA typing has long been held up as the exception to the rule—an infallible technique rooted in unassailable science.
- "Conclusive results in only one test!"
- The FBI created its codis database for storing DNA profiles of convicted criminals
- Obtaining usable DNA from the smallest of samples, like so-called touch DNA

http://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2016/06/a-reasonable-doubt/480747/

Problems with DNA

- Time
- Expense
- Analysis
- No Database for comparison

Radiographs of the foot

- Plain radiographs of the foot are a common form of examination when medical evaluation is indicated, providing useful information on bone morphology and angular relationships.
- Since they are commonly performed, their availability makes them potentially useful in assisting in the identification of an unknown individual from pedal osseous remains.

Exploring the relationship between radiographic and osteologic linear measurements of the human talus and calcaneus

Talus and calcaneus

- The talus and calcaneus can be useful skeletal elements in forensic investigation. They are the largest bones of the foot, relatively sturdy, able to withstand high compressive forces, and are often protected in shoe gear.
- As elements of the skeleton that may endure, the calcaneus and talus can potentially be important bones in assisting in the creation of a biological profile and thus identification.
- This lecture will present the results of a study, using the talus and calcaneus, demonstrating the correlation of measurements taken from radiographic images with that from the actual bone. The objective of this lecture is to demonstrate how radiographs can be used in the forensic evaluation of pedal remains of unknown individuals.

Biological Profile (Sex, Age, Stature, Ancestry), Anomalies and Pathologies all assist with identification



Talus













Calcaneus

























Positive Identification





Safe Medical Device Act of 1990 (FDA)



















































Analyzing radiographs qualitatively and quantitatively
Qualitative vs. Quantitative Analysis

Daubert Standard for scientific methodology:

1. Empirical testing: the theory or technique must be testable.

2. Subjected to peer review and publication.

3. Known or potential error rate.

4. The existence and maintenance of standards and controls concerning its operation.

5. Degree to which the theory and technique is generally accepted by a relevant scientific community.

Exploring the relationship between radiographic and osteologic linear measurements of the human talus and calcaneus

- The specimens for this study were collected from lower extremity amputations with the written approval of the hospital's institutional review board on human studies.
- Each amputated lower limb was sectioned above the ankle, x-rayed in standardized (non-weight bearing) views, and skeletonized.
- A total of 54 feet (32 males, 19 females, and 3 where sex was not recorded) were collected.
- The talus and calcaneus were examined.



- Selected measurements were taken of the talus and calcaneus of each foot
- Corresponding points were determined on the radiographs and measurements performed on the distance between these points
- The radiographic measurements were compared to the measurements taken on the actual bone to determine the accuracy of the radiographic measurements

Radiographs

- AP and lateral radiographic views were used in this study since they provide the most accurate linear measurements (Christman, 2014).
- Land marks on the radiographs corresponding to those on the bone were identified.
- Using a reference scale, each radiograph was calibrated, and measurements performed, using the ImageJ (NIH) software program (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

Factors which may interfere with taking accurate measurements from radiographs

- Distortion caused by distance from x-ray receptor
- Distortion caused by the angulation of bone in relationship to x-ray receptor and x-ray beam
- Inconsistencies in following uniform radiograph taking technique
- Difficulty in choosing radiographic measuring points with those identified for the bone

Weight Bearing Dorsoplantar (DP) view



Anterior-Posterior (DP) view

Ę



Lateral Weightbearing View



Lateral view







Materials and Methods: Measuring points



Medial view of calcaneus



Lateral view of calcaneus (flipped horizontally)



Lateral view







Medial view of the left talus.



Lateral view of the left talus (flipped horizontally).



Lateral radiograph of the talus.





Plantar view of the left talus (flipped horizontally).

Dorsal view of the left talus.



AP radiograph of the talus.



- Descriptive statistics were performed on the measurements taken from the actual bones and the radiographs. Student *t*-test comparing actual bone and radiographic measurements were performed to identify the presence of significant differences in measurement dimensions between bone and radiograph.
- The degree to which measurements were correlated was established using ordinary least squares regression and confirmed with reduced major axis analysis.
- Statistical significance was established using an alpha of 95%.

Results

Measurements and Descriptive Statistics of Calcaneus Bone and Radiographic Measurements

			Bone			Radiograph			t-test	Correlation		
Description	Variable	N	Mean	SEM	SD	Mean	SEM	SD	t	df	р	r²
Maximum Length	MLCAL	54	83.87	0.893	6.56	86.75	0.915	6.72	-9.965	53	<0.001	0.90
Maximum Length	MLCAL-2	54	82.15	0.917	6.74	83.32	0.918	6.74	-4.113	53	<0.001	0.90
Body Height	BHCAL	54	49.75	0.587	4.31	50.50	0.773	5.68	-1.784	53	0.08	0.71
Minimum Body Height	MinBHCAL	54	39.24	0.497	3.65	38.98	0.520	3.82	1.119	53	0.26	0.80
Minimum Anterior Height	MinAHCAL	54	25.07	0.289	2.12	23.08	0.301	2.21	-0.044	53	0.96	0.52
(Minimum Anterior Width)	MinAWCAL-1	54	22.83	0.272	2.00	23.04	0.302	2.22	-0.691	53	0.25	0.21
Minimum Anterior Width*	MinAWCAL-2	54	22.83	0.272	2.00	23.01	0.285	2.09	-0.642	53	0.52	0.26

Calcaneus correlation



Calcaneus correlation



Calcaneus Results

As demonstrated, while length and height measurements are different ($p \ge 0.05$), most correlated ($r^2 > 0.70$, all p < 0.001). The anterior process width measures are more challenging to obtain from radiographs.

Measurements and Descriptive Statistics of Talus Bone and Radiographic Measurements

			Bone			Radiogr	aphic (x)				t-test	
Description	Variable	Ν	Mean	SEM	SD	Mean	SEM	SD	t	df	р	r²
Maximum Length	MaxLTAL	5 4	60.10	0.713	5.24	62.45	0.803	5.90	-8.5328	53	<0.00 1	0.88
Maximum Body Height	MaxHBTAL	5 4	32.91	0.370	2.72	33.16	0.449	3.30	-0.8879	53	0.377	0.62
Body Height*	BHTALa	5 4	33.05	0.404	2.97	33.81	0.444	3.26	-4.3034	53	<0.00 1	0.84
Body Height*	BHTALb	5 4	33.53	0.434	3.19	33.81	0.444	3.26	-1.3143	53	0.194	0.78
Maximum Length Fibular Malleolar Facet	MaxLFMTAL	5 4	32.40	0.391	2.87	32.83	0.384	2.82	-1.7214	53	0.091	0.61
Maximum Width Talar Head	MaxWHTAL	5 4	31.60	0.397	2.92	31.92	0.477	3.51	-0.6961	53	0.489	0.20
Minimal Width Talar neck*	MinWNTALa	5 4	27.43	0.352	2.56	27.75	0.449	3.30	-0.8753	53	0.385	0.36
Minimal Width Talar neck*	MinWNTALb	5 4	27.32	0.369	2.72	27.75	0.449	3.30	-1.2679	53	0.210	0.45
Maximum Length Talar Head and Neck	MaxLHNTAL	5 4	25.22	0.415	3.05	24.54	0.464	3.41	2.4258	53	0.019	0.63

Talus correlation



Talus correlation



Talus Results

As demonstrated, while length (MaxLTAL) and one of the height measurements (BHTALa) are significantly different ($p \le 0.05$), the differences between most of the radiographic and bone measurements of the other variables are not. Most measurements between radiograph and bone are correlated. The relationships in the transverse plane are weak, while those in the sagittal plane are stronger.

Conclusions

Conclusions

In spite of all the potential factors that can interfere with the accuracy of measurements taken from radiographs and corresponding bone, the results of this study demonstrate that, for most variables, selected measurements taken from radiographs accurately represent corresponding measurements taken from bone.

Conclusions (continued)

Measurements that are taken from radiographic views provide useful information:

- in assisting in the identification of an unknown individual by comparing measurements taken from the radiographs with those of the bone of the unknown individual (along with qualitative features).
- in adding to the data base of measurements that can be used in the development of a biological profile.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I'd like to thank Patricia Kramer, Ph.D. for her time and assistance with this research study and the statistical methodology and conclusions.



References

Agoada D. 2011. The Significance of Tarsal Variation: Sex Determination Using the Talus and Calcaneus [Masters Thesis]. Boston: Boston University.

Ahmed AA. 2014. A Study of Correlations within the Dimensions of Lower Limb Parts for Personal Identification in a Sudanese Population. Scientific World Journal. 541408. Published online 2014 Oct 19. doi: <u>10.1155/2014/541408</u> PMCID: PMC4216709

Buikstra JE, Ubelaker DH (editors). 1994. Standards for Data Collection from Human Skeletal Remains. Arkansas Archeological Survey Research Series No. 44, Fayetteville, AR.

Christensen AM, Crowder CM. 2009. Evidentiary standards for forensic anthropology. J Forensic Sci 2009; 54(6): 771-6.

Christman RA. 2015. Foot and Ankle Radiology. 2nd ed. St. Louis: Churchill Livingstone.

Christman RA. 2014. Radiographic anatomy of the foot and ankle – Part I The distal leg. J Am Podiatric Med Assoc. 104:402-412.

DiMaggio JA, Vernon W. 2011. Forensic Podiatry. Principles and Methods. New York: Humana Press.

Draves DJ. 1986. Anatomy of the Lower Extremity. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins.

International Association for Identification. 2009. Forensic Podiatry: Role and scope of practice. <u>https://www.theiai.org/disciplines/podiatry/podiatry_role_and_scope.pdf</u>. Accessed 7/31/2016.

Introna F, DiVella G, Campobasso CP, Dragone M. 1997. Sex determination by discriminant analysis of calcanei measurements. J Forensic Sci 42:725-728.

Kelikian AS. 2012. Sarrafian's Anatomy of the Foot and Ankle: Descriptive, Topographic, Functional. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Lautzenheiser SG, Kramer PA. 2013. Linear and angular measurements of the foot of modern humans: A test of Morton's foot types. Anat Rec 296:1526-1533.

Rich J. 2000. Increasing the identification potential from human foot remains. J Forensic Sci 45(2):505-506.

Rich J, Dean DE. Powers RH. 2005. Forensic Medicine of the Lower Extremity. Totowa NJ: Humana Press.

Shaer M. 2016. The false promise of DNA testing. <u>http://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2016/06/a-reasonable-doubt/480747</u>. Accessed 7-31-2016.

Steele DG. 1976. The estimation of sex on the basis of the talus and calcaneus. Am J Phys Anthropol 45:581-588.

Vernon W. (2007) The Foot in identification. In Thompson, T. & Black, S. (Eds.) Forensic Human Identification: An Introduction. Boca Raton FL: CRC Press.

Vernon W. 1994. The use of podiatry records in forensic and mass disaster identification. J Forensic Ident. 44:26-40.

White TD. 2000. Human Osteology. Second edition. San Diego: Academic press.

Wilson RJ, Bethard JD, DiGangi EA. 2011. The use of orthopedic surgical devices for forensic identification. J Forensic Sci. 56:460-469.

Questions?



Email address: dagoada@uw.edu